

**IN THE 11th JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
STATE OF MISSOURI**

STATE OF MISSOURI, ex rel.)	
Attorney General Chris Koster,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	Case No:
)	
ROBERT MASON)	Division:
An individual,)	
d/b/a Mason Construction)	
)	
Serve at:)	
208 Lindy Blvd)	
Ballwin, MO 63021)	
)	
Defendant.)	

**PETITION FOR PRELIMINARY AND PERMANENT INJUNCTIONS,
RESTITUTION, CIVIL PENALTIES, AND OTHER COURT ORDERS**

Plaintiff, State of Missouri, at the relation of Attorney General Chris Koster, brings this Petition for Preliminary and Permanent Injunctions, Restitution, Civil Penalties, and Other Court Orders against Robert Mason, d/b/a Mason Construction (“Defendant”), and upon information and belief states as follows:

PARTIES

1. Chris Koster is the duly elected, qualified, and acting Attorney General of the State of Missouri and brings this action in his official capacity

pursuant to Chapter 407, RSMo 2010.¹

2. Defendant Robert Mason is an individual who resides at 208 Lindy Blvd in the City of Ballwin, St. Louis County, in the State of Missouri, and did business in the State of Missouri as Mason Construction.

3. Any acts, practices, methods, uses, solicitations, or conduct of the Defendant alleged in this petition include the acts, practices, methods, uses, solicitations, or conduct of Defendant's employees, agents, or other representatives acting under their direction, control, or authority.

JURISDICTION

4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under Art. V, § 14 Mo. Const. and § 407.010 et. seq., which allows the Attorney General to seek injunctive relief and civil penalties for violations of § 407.020.

VENUE

5. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to § 407.100.7, which provides that “[a]ny action under this section may be brought in the county in which the defendant resides, in which the violation alleged to have been

¹ All references are to Missouri Revised Statutes 2010, unless otherwise noted. Where a citation gives a supplement year—*e.g.* “(Supp. 2011)” —the citation is to the version of the statute that appears in the corresponding supplementary version of the Missouri Revised Statutes, and, where relevant, to identical versions published in previous supplements.

committed occurred, or in which the defendant has his principal place of business.”

6. Defendant has advertised, marketed, and offered for sale home remodeling and construction services in St. Charles, Missouri, and has engaged in the acts, practices, methods, uses, and conduct described below in violation of § 407.020 in St. Charles, St. Charles County, Missouri, among other Missouri locations.

MERCHANDISING PRACTICES ACT

7. Section 407.020 of the Merchandising Practices Act provides in pertinent part:

The act, use or employment by any person of any deception, fraud, false pretense, false promise, misrepresentation, unfair practice or the concealment, suppression, or omission of any material fact in connection with the sale or advertisement of any merchandise in trade or commerce or the solicitation of any funds for any charitable purpose, as defined in section 407.453, in or from the state of Missouri, is declared to be an unlawful practice.... Any act, use or employment declared unlawful by this subsection violates this subsection whether committed before, during or after the sale, advertisement, or solicitation.

8. “Person” is defined as “any natural person or his legal representative, partnership, firm, for-profit or not-for-profit corporation, whether domestic or foreign, company, foundation, trust, business entity or association, and any agent, employee, salesman, partner, officer, director,

member, stockholder, associate, trustee or cestui que trust thereof.”

§ 407.010(5).

9. “Merchandise” is defined as “any objects, wares, goods, commodities, intangibles, real estate, or services.” § 407.010(4).

10. “Sale” is defined as “any sale, lease, offer for sale or lease, or attempt to sell or lease merchandise for cash or on credit.” § 407.010(6).

11. “Trade” or “commerce” is defined as “the advertising, offering for sale, sale, or distribution, or any combination thereof, of any services and any property, tangible or intangible, real, personal, or mixed, and any other article, commodity, or thing of value wherever situated. The terms ‘trade’ and ‘commerce’ include any trade or commerce directly or indirectly affecting the people of this state.” § 407.010(7).

12. Defendant has advertised merchandise in trade or commerce within the meaning of § 407.010.

13. Pursuant to authority granted in § 407.145, the Attorney General has promulgated rules explaining and defining terms utilized in §§ 407.010 to 407.145 of the Merchandising Practices Act. Said rules are contained in the Missouri Code of State Regulations (CSR). The rules relevant to Plaintiff’s Merchandising Practices Act allegations include, but are not limited to, the provisions of 15 CSR 60-3.010 to 15 CSR 60-14.040. These rules are adopted and incorporated by reference.

ALLEGATIONS OF FACTS RELEVANT TO ALL COUNTS

14. From at least June 2012, until present, Defendant sold construction and home remodeling services to Missouri consumers.

15. Defendant contracted with consumers to provide construction and home remodeling services, often in exchange for an upfront payment.

16. Defendant failed to provide some or all of the goods or services stated in the contract.

17. Defendant accepted at least \$23,250 from at least four consumers for goods and services not provided.

18. As of the date of this petition, Defendant has not provided the goods or services contracted for or refunded consumers for work not performed.

Consumer Example

19. Oscar and Vicky Jessie contracted with Defendant in June 2012 to remodel parts of the interior of their residence, including renovating the bathroom, removing carpeting from parts of the house, and installing drywall in their garage, located at 4208 Weatherton Place, St. Charles, MO 63304.

20. In June 2012, The Jessies made an upfront payment to Defendant of \$18,000.00 before he began work.

21. Defendant performed some painting and demolition, but his work was substandard.

22. After Defendant stopped performing work on the Jessie's home, sometime in August 2012. Oscar Jessie attempted several times to reach Defendant by phone, but received no response.

23. The Jessies were forced to hire another contractor to complete the unfinished projects Defendant left behind in their home, for an additional cost to the Jessies of \$13,115.00.

24. As of the date of this petition, the Jessies have not received any refund from Defendant.

VIOLATIONS

COUNT I- FALSE PROMISE

25. Plaintiff incorporates all allegations stated above.

26. Defendant engaged in conduct that violates § 407.020 by falsely promising that he would provide construction and home remodeling services to consumers, which was false or misleading as to Defendant's intentions or ability to perform the promise or the likelihood the promise would be performed.

COUNT II - DECEPTION

27. Plaintiff incorporates all allegations stated above.

28. Defendant engaged in methods, acts, uses and practices of deception in connection with the sale of construction, remodeling and roofing

services, in violation of § 407.020 by using the method, act, use, practice, advertisement or solicitation to consumers that construction and home remodeling services contracted would be provided according to the terms of the agreement, which had the tendency or capacity to mislead, deceive or cheat, or that tended to create a false impression.

RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays this Court enter judgment:

- A. Finding that the Defendant has violated the provisions of § 407.020.
- B. Issuing a preliminary and permanent injunction pursuant to § 407.100 prohibiting and enjoining Defendant and his agents, servants, employees, representatives, and other individuals acting at his direction or on his behalf from:
 - i. Owning, managing, operating, performing, offering, advertising, soliciting, or selling any home remodeling, home repair or construction services in the State of Missouri, except to the extent necessary to complete work already paid for by Missouri homeowners;
 - ii. Expending or otherwise disposing of any funds that Defendant received from Missouri homeowners as initial, up-front, or down payments for work that has not yet been

completed.

C. Requiring Defendant pursuant to § 407.100.4 to provide full restitution to all consumers from whom Defendant has received monies and who have been aggrieved by the use of any of the unlawful, unfair or deceptive acts and practices alleged herein.

D. Requiring Defendant pursuant to § 407.100.6 to pay to the State a civil penalty in such amounts as allowed by law per violation of Chapter 407 that the Court finds to have occurred.

E. Requiring Defendant pursuant to § 407.140.3 to pay to the State an amount of money equal to ten percent (10%) of the total restitution ordered against Defendant, or such other amount as the Court deems fair and equitable.

F. Requiring Defendant pursuant to § 407.130 to pay all court, investigative, and prosecution costs of this case.

G. Granting any additional relief that the Court deems proper in the premise.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRIS KOSTER
Attorney General

/s/ Kyle A. Poelker
Kyle A. Poelker, MO Bar #65586
Assistant Attorney General
P.O. Box 899
Jefferson City, MO 65102
Ph: (573) 751-4304
Fax: (573) 751-2041
kyle.poelker@ago.mo.gov

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF